

- 6.17 The population pmf is $f(x|\theta) = \theta(1-\theta)^{x-1} = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} e^{\log(1-\theta)x}$, an exponential family with $t(x) = x$. Thus, $\sum_i X_i$ is a complete, sufficient statistic by Theorems 6.2.10 and 6.2.25. $\sum_i X_i - n \sim$ negative binomial(n, θ).
- 6.22 a. The sample density is $\prod_i \theta x_i^{\theta-1} = \theta^n (\prod_i x_i)^{\theta-1}$, so $\prod_i X_i$ is sufficient for θ , not $\sum_i X_i$.
 b. Because $\prod_i f(x_i|\theta) = \theta^n e^{(\theta-1)\log(\prod_i x_i)}$, $\log(\prod_i X_i)$ is complete and sufficient by Theorem 6.2.25. Because $\prod_i X_i$ is a one-to-one function of $\log(\prod_i X_i)$, $\prod_i X_i$ is also a complete sufficient statistic.
- 7.37 To find a best unbiased estimator of θ , first find a complete sufficient statistic. The joint pdf is

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{2\theta}\right)^n \prod_i I_{(-\theta, \theta)}(x_i) = \left(\frac{1}{2\theta}\right)^n I_{[0, \theta)}(\max_i |x_i|).$$

By the Factorization Theorem, $\max_i |X_i|$ is a sufficient statistic. To check that it is a complete sufficient statistic, let $Y = \max_i |X_i|$. Note that the pdf of Y is $f_Y(y) = ny^{n-1}/\theta^n$, $0 < y < \theta$. Suppose $g(y)$ is a function such that

$$E g(Y) = \int_0^\theta \frac{ny^{n-1}}{\theta^n} g(y) dy = 0, \text{ for all } \theta.$$

Taking derivatives shows that $\theta^{n-1}g(\theta) = 0$, for all θ . So $g(\theta) = 0$, for all θ , and $Y = \max_i |X_i|$ is a complete sufficient statistic. Now

$$E Y = \int_0^\theta y \frac{ny^{n-1}}{\theta^n} dy = \frac{n}{n+1} \theta \Rightarrow E \left(\frac{n+1}{n} Y \right) = \theta.$$

Therefore $\frac{n+1}{n} \max_i |X_i|$ is a best unbiased estimator for θ because it is a function of a complete sufficient statistic. (Note that $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ is not a minimal sufficient statistic (recall Exercise 5.36). It is for $\theta < X_i < 2\theta$, $-2\theta < X_i < \theta$, $4\theta < X_i < 6\theta$, etc., but not when the range is symmetric about zero. Then $\max_i |X_i|$ is minimal sufficient.)

- 7.48 a. The Cramér-Rao Lower Bound for unbiased estimates of p is

$$\frac{\left[\frac{d}{dp} p\right]^2}{-nE \frac{d^2}{dp^2} \log L(p|X)} = \frac{1}{-nE \left\{ \frac{d^2}{dp^2} \log [p^X (1-p)^{1-X}] \right\}} = \frac{1}{-nE \left\{ -\frac{X}{p^2} - \frac{(1-X)}{(1-p)^2} \right\}} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n},$$

because $EX = p$. The MLE of p is $\hat{p} = \sum_i X_i/n$, with $E\hat{p} = p$ and $\text{Var } \hat{p} = p(1-p)/n$. Thus \hat{p} attains the CRLB and is the best unbiased estimator of p .

- b. By independence, $E(X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4) = \prod_i E X_i = p^4$, so the estimator is unbiased. Because $\sum_i X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic, Theorems 7.3.17 and 7.3.23 imply that $E(X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 | \sum_i X_i)$ is the best unbiased estimator of p^4 . Evaluating this yields

$$\begin{aligned} E \left(X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 \mid \sum_i X_i = t \right) &= \frac{P(X_1 = X_2 = X_3 = X_4 = 1, \sum_{i=5}^n X_i = t-4)}{P(\sum_i X_i = t)} \\ &= \frac{p^4 \binom{n-4}{t-4} p^{t-4} (1-p)^{n-t}}{\binom{n}{t} p^t (1-p)^{n-t}} = \binom{n-4}{t-4} / \binom{n}{t}, \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq 4$. For $t < 4$ one of the X_i s must be zero, so the estimator is $E(X_1 X_2 X_3 X_4 | \sum_i X_i = t) = 0$.

- 7.49 a. From Theorem 5.5.9, $Y = X_{(1)}$ has pdf

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{n!}{(n-1)! \lambda} e^{-y/\lambda} \left[1 - (1 - e^{-y/\lambda}) \right]^{n-1} = \frac{n}{\lambda} e^{-ny/\lambda}.$$

Thus $Y \sim \text{exponential}(\lambda/n)$ so $EY = \lambda/n$ and nY is an unbiased estimator of λ .

- b. Because $f_X(x)$ is in the exponential family, $\sum_i X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic and $E(nX_{(1)} | \sum_i X_i)$ is the best unbiased estimator of λ . Because $E(\sum_i X_i) = n\lambda$, we must have $E(nX_{(1)} | \sum_i X_i) = \sum_i X_i/n$ by completeness. Of course, any function of $\sum_i X_i$ that is an unbiased estimator of λ is the best unbiased estimator of λ . Thus, we know directly that because $E(\sum_i X_i) = n\lambda$, $\sum_i X_i/n$ is the best unbiased estimator of λ .
- c. From part (a), $\hat{\lambda} = 601.2$ and from part (b) $\hat{\lambda} = 128.8$. Maybe the exponential model is not a good assumption.
- 7.52 a. Because the Poisson family is an exponential family with $t(x) = x$, $\sum_i X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic. Any function of $\sum_i X_i$ that is an unbiased estimator of λ is the unique best unbiased estimator of λ . Because \bar{X} is a function of $\sum_i X_i$ and $E\bar{X} = \lambda$, \bar{X} is the best unbiased estimator of λ .
- b. S^2 is an unbiased estimator of the population variance, that is, $E S^2 = \lambda$. \bar{X} is a one-to-one function of $\sum_i X_i$. So \bar{X} is also a complete sufficient statistic. Thus, $E(S^2 | \bar{X})$ is an unbiased estimator of λ and, by Theorem 7.3.23, it is also the unique best unbiased estimator of λ . Therefore $E(S^2 | \bar{X}) = \bar{X}$. Then we have

$$\text{Var } S^2 = \text{Var}(E(S^2 | \bar{X})) + E \text{Var}(S^2 | \bar{X}) = \text{Var } \bar{X} + E \text{Var}(S^2 | \bar{X}),$$

so $\text{Var } S^2 > \text{Var } \bar{X}$.

- c. We formulate a general theorem. Let $T(X)$ be a complete sufficient statistic, and let $T'(X)$ be any statistic other than $T(X)$ such that $E T(X) = E T'(X)$. Then $E[T'(X) | T(X)] = T(X)$ and $\text{Var } T'(X) > \text{Var } T(X)$.